ABSTRACT
Rising incidences of counterfeit chips in the supply chain have posed a serious threat to the semiconductor industry. Recycling of used chips constitutes a major form of counterfeiting attacks. If undetected, they can lead to serious consequences including system performance/reliability issues during field operation and potential revenue/reputation loss for a trusted manufacturer. Existing validation approaches based on path delay analysis suffer from reduced robustness and sensitivity under large process variations. On the other hand, existing design solutions based on aging sensors require additional design/verification efforts and cannot be applied to legacy chips. In this paper, we present a novel recycled chip identification approach, CACI, that exploits differential aging in self-similar modules (e.g., different parts of an adder) to isolate aged chips under large inter- and intra-die process variations. It compares dynamic current ($I_{DDT}$) signatures between two adjacent similar circuit structures in a chip. We derive an isolation metric based on multiple current comparisons to provide high level of confidence. CACI does not rely on any embedded structures for authentication, thus it comes at virtually zero design overhead and can be applied to chips already in the market. Through extensive simulations, we show that for 15% inter- and 10% intra-die variations in threshold voltage for a 45nm CMOS process, over 97% of recycled chips can be reliably identified.
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1. INTRODUCTION
A counterfeit integrated circuit (IC) is an electronic component with discrepancy on the material, performance or characteristics, but sold as a genuine one. Counterfeit ICs include an unauthorized copy, remarked/recycled die (e.g., selling a used chip as new), cloned design through piracy or reverse engineering or failed real part [1]. Fig. 1 shows the typical life cycle of an IC from the design (by a manufacturer) to the deployment in a system (by a system designer). The IC supply chain, marked in red in Fig. 1, consists of multiple untrusted entities and is vulnerable to a potential compromise by an attacker, who can insert counterfeit chips at any level in the chain. The cost of counterfeiting and piracy is estimated to rise to 1.2 to 1.7 trillion dollars by 2015 [1]. In addition to the revenue and reputation loss to the genuine IC manufacturer, a counterfeit chip in electronic equipment may lead to severe consequences with potentially degraded quality, reliability, and performance in field operation [2]. In particular, it poses serious threats in many mission-critical applications. As a result, counterfeit chips have emerged as a major concern in the semiconductor industry. Among all counterfeit types, the recycled (i.e., aged/used) chip, often scavenged from used electronic goods, is the most common one, with over 80% share in total counterfeit chips, according to [3]. This is primarily because reselling used chips from old discarded electronics is relatively easy low-cost process, which, unlike cloning and other forms of counterfeiting attacks, requires little or no complex infrastructure. Due to aging effect, these chips suffer from degraded device threshold voltage ($V_{th}$) and hence reliability issues compared to new chips.

Existing industry-standard methods and tools, such as [4], [5], primarily depend on functional or parametric tests, which are typically not effective in isolating recycled or cloned chips under process variations. To address this growing need, new approaches are emerging from academia and industry. The active metering approach that minimally alters a design for authentication and remotely activates it before use has been explored to identify counterfeit chips [6]. For...
detecting recycled chips, researchers have proposed inserting one or more aging sensor(s) in a chip to track the change of device $V_{th}$ shift due to aging [7]. However, both [6] and [7] add to the design overhead and cannot be used for legacy chips in the market. An emerging paradigm of IC authentication based on Physical Unclonable Function (PUF) [8] has been considered as an effective mechanism for counterfei t chip isolation by producing unique identifier for each chip. Besides the extra hardware/desgn cost of embedded PUF structure, it is not effective for detecting recycled chips due to the required robustness of the identifier under aging. Moreover, PUF cannot work for the chips already in the market. Other detection methods are based on the extraction of circuit parameters in authentic chips, such as path delay, to identify recycled ones [9], [10]. However, the target parameters are often difficult to measure and the detection accuracy is a concern under large process/temporal variations.

In this paper, we propose a novel recycled chip identification approach, referred to as Current Analysis based Counterfeit chip Identification (CACI), to efficiently isolate recycled chips from new ones. The key idea is that different self-similar logic blocks in a datapath (e.g., parts of adder, comparator, decoder, logic unit) experience different stress due to widely varying level of activities. For example, the functional units in a processor operate on > 50% of narrow-width operands (i.e., <16 bits in a 32-bit adder) [11]. It leads to different levels of aging in two parts of a datapath. CACI utilizes the correlation of dynamic supply current ($I_{DDT}$) of two self-similar modules with unaffected aging to construct a process-invariant signature for each chip. Fig. 2 illustrates the overall approach. Two test vector pairs (transitions) are used to measure dynamic current $I_{DDT}^{(1)}$ and $I_{DDT}^{(2)}$ from two identical structures ($i$ and $i'$) for each suspect chip from a supply chain. The distance pair $d_{1,2}$ of the measured currents from an expected trend line is calculated for each chip. Based on the probability density function (PDF) of $d_{1,2}$ of authentic chips, we transform the distance to an isolation metric. A chip is judged as recycled when its isolation metric exceeds a pre-defined threshold.

The effectiveness of CACI is validated through extensive circuit-level simulation under a realistic process variation model for a 45nm CMOS process. We consider inter- and intra-die variations in $V_{th}$ for Hspice simulation. In our evaluation, among various aging effects, we consider the effect of negative biased temperature instability (NBTI) in $V_{th}$ using MOSRA tool from Synopsys integrated with Hspice [12]. It is well accepted that NBTI poses the most critical reliability issue that largely determines the lifetime of a circuit in nanoscale CMOS processes. The proposed approach, however, can work under other aging effects. We consider a 32-bit carry lookahead adder and equality comparator as case study, since they are common components in modern digital circuits (e.g., processor). In particular, the paper makes the following major contributions:

- It presents a low-cost robust validation approach for identifying recycled chips from an untrusted supply chain. It works for diverse chips including processor/digital signal processors (DSP), and other ASICs. A major challenge in detecting recycled chip using parametric analysis is that intrinsic process variations can easily mask the effect of aging in circuit parameters (e.g., delay or current). CACI addresses this challenge by taking advantage of differential aging in adjacent self-similar logic blocks. Such an analysis automatically eliminates the effect of inter-die and intra-die systematic variations. However, to effectively eliminate intra-die random variations effect (e.g., due to random dopant fluctuations) and detect recycled chips with high sensitivity, the proposed approach requires minimal process characterization. Thus, it imposes low burden and validation cost for both designer and system integrator.
- It proposes relevant metrics for $I_{DDT}$ based analysis to detect recycled chip. It derives an isolation metric that combines the differential aging effects for multiple vectors into one consolidated metric. It provides high level of confidence to detect recycled chips with high sensitivity. A method is presented to maximize the sensitivity of detection by increasing unbalanced aging effect on $I_{DDT}$.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 describes the related work and motivation. The theoretical foundation is presented in Section 3. The methodology is described in Section 4. Section 5 presents the simulation results. The discussion on several important issues is provided in Section 6. We conclude in Section 7.

2. RELATED WORK AND MOTIVATION

In case of recycled chips, $V_{th}$ is elevated due to aging effect (e.g., NBTI). The detection methods can be classified into two broad categories: (1) the circuit parameter characterization based validation, and (2) aging sensor based design approach. Among circuit parameters, [9] and [10] employ path delay. However, for [9], a large number of test vectors are required to measure path delays by using the commercial CAD tools (e.g., Tetramax) on the netlist of a chip. As a result, it cannot be applied when the netlist or test vectors are difficult to obtain. It also relies on accurate characterization of all forms (inter- and intra-die) of process variations. The effectiveness of [10] is severely limited considering the difficulty to find two paths among vast set of available ones with sufficient aging-induced path delay discrepancy. Aging sensors are embedded into a chip for tracking the $V_{th}$ shift due to aging, with which recycled chips can be identified [7]. However, aging sensors are well-known to suffer in accuracy under large process variations. More importantly, they require design modification (also incur hardware overhead), and cannot be applied to chips already in market.

Modern digital ICs usually include many regular self-similar structures. Depending on application scenarios, PMOS transistors in each structure would have different stress/recovery duration, thus experiencing variable $V_{th}$ shift. We used MOSRA in Hspice to observe NBTI effect in a 32-bit carry lookahead adder. Since more than 50% operands in a processor for benchmark applications are narrow-width [11], upper 16-bit are mostly all zero or one. We apply 16-bit random inputs with activity 0.5 for the lower 16-bit, while all-zero and all-one with activity 0.2 for the upper 16-bit. Fig. 3 shows a significant difference on $V_{th}$ increase between the
upper and lower 16-bit for a five-year aging. On the other hand, major components of process variations (inter-die and intra-die systematic) induce uniform shift in \( V_{th} \). Hence, in CACI, we isolate aged chip under process variations by observing the nature of \( V_{th} \) shift in two similar and adjacent structures.

3. THEORETICAL BASIS

Fundamentally, CACI exploits the correlation of \( I_{DDT} \) in similar and adjacent circuit structures to differentiate between the authentic and recycled chips by observing aging-induced \( V_{th} \) shift. Both inter-die and intra-die \( V_{th} \) variations are considered in the CMOS processes. The inter-die \( V_{th} \) variation is shared by transistors on the same die and varies from die to die. The intra-die variation results in a random as well as systematic \( V_{th} \) shift among transistors within a die. Assume the nominal \( V_{th} \) is \( V_{th}^{(nom)} \), the inter-die shift for chip \( c \) is \( \Delta V_{th}^{(c)} \) and the intra-die shift for gate \( g \) in chip \( c \) is \( \Delta V_{th}^{(c,g)} \). The gates in module \( i \) activated by test vector pair \( j \) is set in \( G_{i,j} \). According to [13], the average \( I_{DDT} \) induced by test vector pair \( j \) in chip \( c \) is

\[
I^{(c)}(G_{i,j}) = \sum_{g \in G_{i,j}} \beta_g (V_{DD} - V_{th}^{(c)} - \Delta V_{th}^{(c,g)})^\alpha
\]

where \( \beta_g \) is a gate-dependent constant and \( V_{th}^{(c)} = V_{th}^{(nom)} + \Delta V_{th}^{(c)} \). By Taylor’s expansion, (1) can be re-written as

\[
I^{(c)}(G_{i,j}) = \sum_{g \in G_{i,j}} \beta_g (V_{DD} - V_{th}^{(c)})^\alpha - \gamma_g \Delta V_{th}^{(c,g)}
\]

where \( \gamma_g = \alpha (V_{DD} - V_{th}^{(c)})^{\alpha-1} \). Assuming \( i \) as similar and adjacent module of \( i \), we can obtain the linear correlation as

\[
I^{(c)}(G'_{i,j}) = I^{(c)}(G_{i,j}) + S_{rand}(G_{i,j}, G'_{i,j})
\]

\[
S_{rand} = \sum_{g \in G_{i,j}} \beta_g \gamma_g \Delta V_{th}^{(c,g)} - \sum_{g \in G_{i,j}} \beta_g \gamma_g \Delta V_{th}^{(c,g)}
\]

is associated with intra-die variation of \( V_{th} \). The subtractions in \( S_{rand} \) cancels out intra-die systematic variation due to the strong spatial correlation for adjacent similar modules. The summation and hence averaging on \( S_{rand} \) helps to mitigate the effects of intra-die random variation, if more gates are activated simultaneously (e.g., activate short paths paralelly). The influence on \( I_{DDT} \) of an unbalanced-aged module pair \( \{i, i'\} \) can be modeled as \( S_{recycled} = \sum_{g \in G_{i,j}} \beta_g \gamma_g S_{Vth}^{(c,g)} - \sum_{g \in G_{i,j}} \beta_g \gamma_g \Delta V_{th}^{(c,g)} \), where \( \Delta V_{th}^{(c,g)} \) corresponds to the aging-induced \( V_{th} \) shift for gate \( g \) in chip \( c \). Hence, the unbalanced aging effect is incorporated into (3) as

\[
I^{(c)}(G_{i,j}) = I^{(c)}(G_{i,j}) + S_{rand}(G_{i,j}, G'_{i,j}) + S_{recycled}(G_{i,j}, G'_{i,j})
\]

Under no intra-die variation, similar module \( i \) and \( i' \) should have approximately the same \( I_{DDT} \) under the same test vector pair. Hence, the trend line of (3) will be \( y = x \). From (4) we can observe that the inter-die variation causes the shift of point \( (I_{DDT}^{(i)}(G_{i,j}), I_{DDT}^{(i')}(G_{i,j}')) \) along the trend line; the intra-die variation and aging effect leads to its deviation from the trend line. The detection of recycled chip is formulated as identifying \( S_{recycled} \) in average \( I_{DDT} \). To improve accuracy, we enhance the sensitivity of \( S_{recycled} \) to \( I_{DDT} \) of similar and adjacent module \( i \) and \( i' \) in Section 3.1 and 3.2.

3.1 \( I_{DDT} \) Averaging Window

The location and size of a time window (TW) should be selected properly when measuring the average value of \( I_{DDT} \). Fig. 4(a) shows the shape of \( I_{DDT} \) in time domain, as well as a proper TW to average it. TW is represented as a time interval \([t_0, t_1] \) that captures a large fraction of dynamic power for a test vector pair, and satisfies

\[
\text{min } t_1 - t_0 \geq \eta V_{DD} C_{L,i}
\]

where \( C_{L,i} \) is the overall capacitance of \( G_{i,j} \) and \( G_{i,j}' \); \( \eta \) is a control factor for charging (e.g., 0.9). In (5), we minimize \( t_1 - t_0 \) to increase the sensitivity of \( I_{DDT} \) to \( V_{th} \). Algorithm A is proposed to implement (5). In step 1, the time \( t_{max} \) is the maximum transient current \( I_{DDT}^{(i)}(t_{max}) \). Hence, \( t_0 = t_{max} - \Delta t_0 \) and \( t_1 = t_{max} + \Delta t_1 \) are employed to form a proper TW for computing average \( I_{DDT} \) in step 2-4.

Fig. 4(b) shows the \( I_{DDT} \) shape of module pair \( \{i, i'\} \) with different aging profiles under the same test vector pair. The gates activated in module \( i' \) has larger \( V_{th} \) shift. Hence, \( I_{DDT} \) of \( \{i, i'\} \) (blue and black triangle) in Fig. 4(b) do not completely overlap, creating a small shift in the time of the maximum \( I_{DDT} \) and the charge/discharge duration. If TW is changed to track such shift, the average \( I_{DDT} \) may only show small discrepancy between \( i \) and \( i' \). The difference of \( V_{th} \) shift is not fully reflected on \( I_{DDT} \) measurement.

Algorithm A: Measure Average \( I_{DDT} \) under \( G_{i,j} \) and \( G_{i,j}' \)

Input: \( I_{DDT}^{(i)}(t) \) and \( I_{DDT}^{(i')} (t) \) for module \( \{i, i'\} \)

1. Find the time \( t_{max} \) with max. \( I_{DDT}^{(i)}(t) \)
2. Specify \( \Delta t_0 \) and \( \Delta t_1 \) for \( I_{DDT}^{(i)}(t) \) such that \( I_{DDT}^{(i)}(t) \geq \eta V_{DD} C_{L,i} \)
3. Compute the average \( I_{DDT} \) of module \( i \) in chip \( c \) as \( I^{(c)}(G_{i,j}) = \frac{1}{t_1-t_0} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} I_{DDT}^{(i)}(t) dt \)
4. Compute the average \( I_{DDT} \) of module \( i' \) in chip \( c \) as \( I^{(c)}(G_{i,j}') = \frac{1}{t_1-t_0} \int_{t_0}^{t_1} I_{DDT}^{(i')} (t) dt \)

Output: \( I^{(c)}(G_{i,j}) \) and \( I^{(c)}(G_{i,j}') \)

Based on such analysis, Algorithm A also amplifies the sensitivity of average \( I_{DDT} \) on different \( V_{th} \) shifts of \( \{i, i'\} \). A proper TW is specified in step 2 for module \( i' \) (not \( i \) ). The average \( I_{DDT} \) is calculated in step 3 and 4 using the same TW found in step 2 to enhance the difference.

3.2 Effect of Supply Voltage (\( V_{DD} \))

\( V_{DD} \) affects \( I_{DDT} \), and thus the effectiveness of Algorithm A. Fig. 5(a) shows the change on \( I_{DDT} \) with a load of total capacitance \( C_{L,i} \), when \( V_{DD} \) is increased. The charging duration \( t_2 - t_1 \) can be approximately written as

\[
(t_2 - t_1) \propto \frac{C_{L,i} V_{DD}}{\beta(V_{DD} - V_{th})^2}
\]

In (6), the increase in \( V_{DD} \) leads to smaller \( t_2 - t_1 \). Hence, dynamic power is more concentrated around the time when maximum \( I_{DDT} \) occurs, as shown in Fig. 5(b). The effectiveness of step 3 and 4 in Algorithm A is therefore enhanced.
4. METHODOLOGY

In this section, we describe the steps in CACI to detect a recycled chip during system integration. It exploits differential aging in self-similar circuit blocks to isolate aged chips from new ones in presence of both inter- and intra-die process variations. CACI extracts the information of authentic chips by IC designer as illustrated in Fig. 6(a); and a suspect chip is authenticated by system designer as depicted in Fig. 6(b).

Assume the authentic and suspect chips constitute set \( N \) and \( U \), respectively; test vector pairs are in set \( T \) to activate a similar module pair \( \{ i, i' \} \). In Fig. 6(a), the test vector pairs in \( T \) are input into \( \{ i, i' \} \) of chips in \( N \) to compute average \( I_{DDT} \) by Algorithm A. The distance set \( \{ d_{i,j}^{c} \} \) to the trend line (\( y = x \)) for points \( (I^{(c)}(G_{i,j}), I^{(c)}(G_{i'})) \) for \( c \in N \) (1 \( < j \leq |T| \)) is computed to estimate the probability density function (PDF) family \( \{ p_{j}() \} \). An isolation metric is formulated from log-likelihood of \( \{ d_{i,j}^{c} \} \) in a chip, along with the threshold \( T_{th} \) of recycled chip. Here, \( T \), \( \{ p_{j}() \} \) and \( T_{th} \) are produced and shared by IC designer for authentication. As shown in Fig. 6(b), the isolation metric of each suspect chip is calculated and compared with \( T_{th} \) to decide whether it is recycled or not.

4.1 Measurement of Average \( I_{DDT} \)

Average \( I_{DDT} \) is measured for a chip by following steps in Algorithm A. The time/magnitude accuracy should be considered, especially when \( I_{DDT} \) varies rapidly with switching test vector pairs. In [14], we observe that photoconductive semiconductor switches can yield time accuracy as high as 10 ps. Moreover, small current can be measured with the accuracy up to pA level [15]. Such resolution is enough to implement CACI for chips. In non-ideal environment, temporal noises (e.g., temperature and \( V_{DD} \) fluctuations) can decrease the measurement accuracy. However, we can minimize these effects through multiple measurements. In our simulation, average \( I_{DDT} \) is directly estimated through Hspice.

4.2 Pre-processing

Based on \( (I^{(c)}(G_{i,j}), I^{(c)}(G_{i'}))) \) for \( c \in N \) and \( j \in T \), we proceed with the steps of pre-processing to extract the information for identifying authentic chips. \( (I^{(c)}(G_{i,j}), I^{(c)}(G_{i'}))) \) is projected on the trend line \( y = x \) with coordinate \( (a_{c}^{i}, a_{c}^{i'}) \). It can be derived that

\[
d_{i,j}^{c} = |I^{(c)}(G_{i,j}) - I^{(c)}(G_{i'})|
\]

for \( (i,j) \) relative to the trend line, the distance \( d_{i,j}^{c} \) is calculated as

\[
d_{i,j}^{c} = \sqrt{2\alpha} (I^{(c)}(G_{i,j}) - I^{(c)}(G_{i'}))
\]

Due to the process/aging effect on \( V_{th} \), \( d_{i,j}^{c} \) can be processed as a random variable with PDF \( p_{j}() \). From (3) and (7), we derive

\[
d_{i,j}^{c} = \sqrt{2\alpha / 2\sigma_{rund}}.
\]

Hence, \( p_{j}() \) depends on the distribution of intra-die variation. Particularly, \( p_{j}() \) can be modeled as a Gaussian distribution, if the intra-die variation of \( V_{th} \) follows a Gaussian distribution.

4.3 Post-processing and Authentication

Based on the data set \( \{ d_{i,j}^{c} \} \) and PDF family \( \{ p_{j}() \} \), \( c \in N \), \( j \in T \), we derive the isolation metric for each authentic chip, as well as the detection threshold for recycled chip. Since the gates in \( G_{i,j} \) activated by test vector pair \( j \) can be different from \( G_{i',j} \) (\( j \neq j' \)), the random variables of PDF \( p_{j}() \) and \( p_{j'}() \) are approximated as statistically independent. Hence, the log-likelihood of authentic chip \( c \) is:

\[
B_{i,j}^{(c)} = -\log_{10} \left( \prod_{j=1}^{|T|} p_{j}(d_{i,j}^{c}) \right) = -\sum_{j=1}^{|T|} \log_{10}(p_{j}(d_{i,j}^{c}))
\]

Here, \( B_{i,j}^{(c)} \) is called isolation metric of chip \( c \). In the presence of process variation, \( B_{i,j}^{(c)} \neq B_{i',j}^{(c)} \) for \( c \neq c' \). The recycled-chip threshold is set as \( T_{th} = B_{i,j} + \gamma \cdot B_{i',j} \), where \( B_{i,j} \) and \( B_{i',j} \) are the isolation metrics of authentic and suspect chips, respectively.
and $\Delta B_{i,j}$ are the mean value and standard deviation of set $\{B_{i,j(c)}^k\} (c \in N)$ and $\gamma$ is a tunable positive factor to adjust $Th_E$ for lower error rate.

Fig. 6(b) shows the major steps to identify a suspect chip $c$ in set $U$ by system designer. First, $d_i^{(c)}$ is computed as (7). The isolation metric $B_{i,j(c)}$ is obtained according to (8). If $B_{i,j(c)}^k < Th_E$, it is judged as an authentic chip in $N$ (‘hit’); otherwise a recycled chip in $C$ (‘reject’), i.e.,

$$auth_c = \begin{cases} 
\text{hit} & \text{if } B_{i,j(c)}^k < Th_E \\
\text{reject} & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}$$

(9)

In the ideal situation, if a chip in $U$ is authentic, its $B_{i,j(c)}$ should be less than $Th_E$ and $auth_c$ is ‘hit’; Similarly, if it is recycled, $auth_c$ should be ‘reject’. However, a misjudgement occurs due to the existence of process variations. Hence, the error rate $e$ is calculated as

$$e = Pr(\text{hit, recycled}) + Pr(\text{reject, new})$$

(10)

where $Pr(\text{hit, recycled})$ and $Pr(\text{reject, new})$ mean the probability of misjudging a recycled and authentic chip.

5. SIMULATION RESULTS

5.1 Simulation Setup

To validate $CACI$, we use the transistor-level netlist of 32-bit lookahead adder and 32-bit equality comparator, since they are common in modern digital circuits. The simulation is carried out in Hspice for PTM 45nm CMOS process [16]. MOSRA in Hspice is used to generate the profile of $Vth$ shift due to NBTI. Considering narrow-width operands, most test vectors into upper 16-bit part have at least one all-zero (or all-one) operand with low flipping probability (e.g., 0.2), while the lower 16-bit has the random vectors with flipping probability 0.5. Next, we incorporate an aging profile into process variation of $Vth$, which is modeled as 15% inter-die and 10% random intra-die variation and a Gaussian distribution. We use 16 test vector pairs for measuring average $I_{DDT}$ in Algorithm A. We simulate 200 authentic adders (and comparators) under the process variation, as well as two aged versions with one year and five years of use. Next, the procedures of $CACI$ are carried out for $B_{i,j(c)}$ of each chip and we make a judgement using (9). Assuming equal probability for authentic and recycled chip, we calculate $Pr(\text{hit, recycled})$ and $Pr(\text{reject, new})$ to obtain $e$.

5.2 Analysis

For one-year aging, the histograms of $Vth$ shifts in the adder and equality comparator are shown in Fig. 7 and Fig. 8. The mean $Vth$ shifts of the lower/upper 16-bit part in the adder are 23.2 mV and 27.2 mV, while 24.1 mV and 26.4 mV for the comparator. The $Vth$ shifts of lower and upper part have a different histogram and thus a recycled version can be differentiated. For a given test vector pair, the average $I_{DDT}$ correlations of upper/lower 16-bit part in adder and comparator are shown in Fig. 9. The points of recycled chips are slightly lower than that of authentic chips due to Algorithm A. Hence, $\{d_i^{(c)}\}$ of recycled chip has a different distribution. Fig. 9(b) shows the histograms of $\{d_i^{(c)}\}$ to estimate $p_i(c)$. They follow the Gaussian distribution that matches the derivation in Section 4.2.

A proper $\gamma$ should be selected to minimize $e$. In the simulation, $\gamma$ is set as one and two for adder and comparator, respectively with the result shown in Fig. 11. The isolation metric of recycled chip for one-year usage is larger than that of authentic chip in Fig. 11. The error rate $e$ is 0.25% for both the adder and comparator.

6. DISCUSSION

6.1 Extensions of $CACI$

SRAM is common component in modern SoCs, which includes an array of cells (e.g., 6-T, 8-T) organized in a regular structure. Due to abundance of narrow-width operands in real applications [11], most significant bits (MSBs) in a word store ‘0’ (or ‘1’) in most time. The contents in least significant bits are altered more frequently. Hence, the aging effect is unbalanced for MSBs and LSBs. Furthermore, an embedded memory usually has separate power grid that simplifies $I_{DDT}$ measurement for memory core with reduced noise. Hence, it can be a good candidate to determine aging of a chip by employing $CACI$.

In addition to digital circuits, $CACI$ is also promising for mixed-signal circuits. For example, pipelined analog-to-digital converter (ADC) includes several stages of similar structure. The aging effect of each stage is different, since ADC works in the middle of transfer function in a major time to reduce the influence of differential nonlinearity (DNL). As a result, the activity of MSBs is lower than LSBs, which leads to aging discrepancy.
6.2 Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity can be enhanced from two perspectives. First, in Fig. 7 and 8, only a subset of PMOS transistors in the upper 16-bit structure has more (or less) $V_{th}$ shift than that in the lower 16-bit part. Hence, it is desirable to choose the test vector pairs that can activate those transistors to maximize the difference in $I_{DDT}$. Second, the PDF family $\{p_j(\cdot)\}$ is directly related to $V_{th}$ intra-die variation. The points corresponding to authentic chips in Fig. 9 tend to be closer to the trend line with a smaller intra-die variation. Hence, $I_{DDT}$ becomes more sensitive to unbalanced aging. With the increase in intra-die variation, the sensitivity decreases.

7. CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK

We have presented CACI, a methodology to detect recycled counterfeit chips in a supply chain by exploiting the discrepancy in $I_{DDT}$ of two similar modules with different aging profiles. Using extensive simulations under realistic process variations, we have shown that it can identify recycled chips reliably with good sensitivity. With virtually no design modification, CACI can be applied to legacy chips in the market. Since modern SoCs include many regular self-similar structures that experience different level of stress during operation, CACI can be effectively employed to them. Since it eliminates effect of inter-die and intra-die systematic variations, it is easily scalable to large complex designs. Although we focus on ASICs in our study, the proposed approach can also be employed to FPGA chips since different configurable logic blocks are expected to suffer from different stress-induced aging. Furthermore, CACI can work for mixed-signal chips such as ADC and telemetry units, which increasingly use digital components of regular structure. Future work will consider extension of the approach to other chips (e.g., FPGA, mixed-signal) and integration with complementary approaches.
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